



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,319	(	04/03/2001	Michael F. Lahn	2879-80	4155	
22442	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
SHERIDA		PC	SCHWADRON, RONALD B			
1560 BROA SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER,	CO 80202	2	1644			
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-	Application No.	Apı	plicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/826,319	,319 LAHN ET AL.						
			Examiner	Art	Unit					
		1	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	164	14					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover shee	t with the corre	spondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136( munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMU (a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to become	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely file  MONTHS from the mane ABANDONED (35)	ed ailing date of this co U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		natters, proseci	ution as to the	e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims		•							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32,34-36</u> is/are pending	in the applica	ation.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
· —	Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-32 and 34-36</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		ted or b) objected	to by the Exan	niner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	-	, -	-						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			•	` '	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:			C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority									
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>				_	01				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation</li> </ol>			een receivea in	this National	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	` ''	not received						
	The second secon		and continue copies							
Attachmen	t(s)									
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Intervis	ew Summary (PTO	-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice 6)  Other:	of Informal Patent	Application (PTC	)-152)				
			تاری رونانات.	<del></del> ·						

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

- 1. The previous Office Action, paragraph 4, indicated that in the event that the claims were amended such that prior art would read on the elected species, the previously enunciated species election requirement would be reinstated. The amended claims are now rejected over the prior art and the species election requirement is reinstated. Thus, claims 3-8 are withdrawn from consideration as per paragraph two of the Office Action mailed 1/13/03.
- 2. Claims 1,2,9-32,34-36 are under consideration.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-35 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the amended claim 1 and the cancellation of claim 33.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1,2,9-32,34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lobb et al. (US Patent 5,871,734) as evidenced by Arrhenius et al. (US Patent 5,869,448) in view of Schramm et al., Wigzell et al. (US Patent 5,958,410)

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

and Krause et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0037286).

Lobb et al. teach use of antibody against VLA-4 to treat asthma (see abstract).

VLA-4 is a receptor on T cells (see Arrhenius et al., column 63, last paragraph). AHR occurs in asthma (see column 12, Example 2). Lobb et al. teach aerosol administration of antiVLA-4 antibody (see column 12, Example 2). Lobb et al. teach use of humanized antiVLA-4 antibody (see column 5, penultimate paragraph). Said antibody does not stimulate T cell activation (said antibodies inhibit VLA-4 function, see column 7, penultimate paragraph). Lobb et al. teach use of monovalent antibody (see column 7, third paragraph). Lobb et al. teach use of antibody dosages encompassed by those recited in claims 18 and 19 (see column 6, penultimate paragraph). Lobb et al. teach administration of said antibody in PBS via nebulized spray (see column 6, penultimate paragraph). Lobb et al. teach the method of claim 27 (see claim 17). Lobb et al. teach the method of claims 28,31,32 (see column 12, Example 2). Lobb et al. teach that the effect seen can be achieved without detectable blood levels of antibody (see column 12. last paragraph) wherein the antibody would not therefore substantially effect peripheral immune function (eg. because it was not present in the blood). Lobb et al. teach use of said method in humans (see claim 16). Lobb et al. teach that their method resulted in a 70% decrease in inhibition of late phase response which would correlate with the improved FEV1 as per claim 34. Lobb et al. do not teach use of antiTCR  $\alpha\beta$  antibodies. Schramm et al. teach use of IV antiTCR  $\alpha\beta$  antibodies to treat asthma (see abstract). Krause et al. teach that antibodies which inhibit T cell activation are preferably administered via pulmonary aerosol (see section [0118] and abstract). Wigzell et al. teach that pathologic T cells found in the lungs can be treated via intrapulmonary (AKA pulmonary aerosol) administration of antiTCR antibody(see column 13, second paragraph and column 12, penultimate paragraph). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have created the claimed invention because Lobb et al. teach aerosol administration of an antibody which binds T cells to treat asthma and Schramm et al. teach that a different antibody which binds T cells (antiTCR  $\alpha\beta$ ) can be used to treat asthma. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do the aforementioned because Lobb et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,319

Art Unit: 1644

teach that the anti T cell antibody can be administered in a variety of art known routes including aerosol. One of ordinary skill in the art would have also been motivated to do the aforementioned because Krause et al. teach that antibodies which inhibit T cell activation are preferably administered via pulmonary aerosol (see section [0118] and abstract) and Wigzell et al. teach that pathologic T cells found in the lungs can be treated via intrapulmonary (AKA pulmonary aerosol) administration of antiTCR antibody(see column 13, second paragraph and column 12, penultimate paragraph). A neutralizing antibody would have been used in the claimed method because Schramm et al. teach that asthma symptoms are reduced in the absence of  $TCR\alpha\beta$  T cells (see abstract). Regarding the particular dosages of formulation or dosage per weight, a routineer would initially test a wide variety of different dosages in order to have determined the smallest effective dose of the antibody used. A routineer would have administered said antibody in conjunction with art known treatments for asthma such as those disclosed in column 2, first paragraph of Lobb et al. The antibody would have been administered either before or during asthma symptoms.

Page 4

Regarding claim 36 and newly amended claim 1, Lobb et al. teach that the effect seen can be achieved without detectable blood levels of antibody (see column 12, last paragraph) wherein the aerosol administered antibody would therefore not substantially effect peripheral immune T cell responses (eg. because it was not present in the blood).

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644 RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1865 ( L --->